

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	1	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	IO. CONFIRMATION NO
09/675,399 09/29/2000		Carl Bilicska		Bilicska 3-2 9208	
7:	590 05/03/2004			E	XAMINER
Troutman, Sa	nders, Mays & Vale	ntine		МАНМ	OUDI, HASSAN
Attn: John Curt	in, Esq.				
1660 Internatio	nal Dr.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 600				2175	•
McLean, VA	22102		·.•		· /
			• • •	DATE MAILED: 05/03	/2004 /
•					· .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Application No.	· •		/ [
Examiner Tony Mahmoudi Tony Mahmoudi Tony Mahmoudi 2175 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may gribly be either. (f) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCC) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply opizes 3 months from the malling date of the Advisory Action or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. The period for reply expires or. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. ONLY OHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 705.070. THE ADVISOR OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 705.070. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 April 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set mains from the semantic properties and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patents term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 April 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they arise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they arise new issues that would require further consideration for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3 Applicants r		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner Art Unit 2175	Advisory Action	09/675,399	BILICSKA ET AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (f) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or bi) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no wards, however, will the satisfulty period for reply expire is after ans ISM MONTHS from the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no wards, however, will be satisfulty period for reply expire is after ans ISM MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no wards, however, will be satisfulty period for reply well and the 37 CFR 1.1360. The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1360 and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate densions from the solid propriate and the solid propriate and the solid propriate and the solid propriate and the solid propr		1	Art Unit
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filled mendment within places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 3_nooths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires 3_nooths from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the benefilled is the date for purposes of determining the period of determining the feet of the CTR expropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shirtened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Crifica ection; or (2) asset forth in (3) about, if inchest. Any reply received by the Offici after than three months effect the mailing adder the final rejection, even if time, may reduce any extended patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 April 2004. Appeal and 38 certain the final office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (b) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reje		Tony Mahmoudi	2175
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either. (1) a timely filled mendement within places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY Check either a) or b)	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires as 1,1 the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, however, will he statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of the many be obtained under 3 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the perible under 3 CFR 1.136(a) and the proprietal extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The Drieg period of the proprietal extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The Office calculated from: (i) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final forcin, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any examend patent the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.194 (20). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 April 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191 (d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal, and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (a) Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): (b) Heavilland the proposed or amended claims (s) would be appl	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in
b)	PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
event, however, will the statutory period for reply espire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL ECTION. See MPEP 705.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filted is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filted is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filted in the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 10 cannot be calculated from: (i) the experiation date of the shorted of the filted within the period of extension fee under the period of the period	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2.	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The draw been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three manners.	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 Insign and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	because:	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furtle	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) DOV POPOVICE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the
3.	(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.
4.	NOTE:		
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: □ Claim(s) objected to: □ Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: □ Surproved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). □ DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			nsidered but does NOT place the
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.		ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	_ , , , , , , , ,	· · · · —	•
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3 :	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
10. Other: DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.
10. Other: DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
		,	DOV POPOVICI

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant's arguments presented in the After Final Request for Reconsideration, filed on 15-April-2004 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive, and the claim limitations of the "finally rejected" claims are still met by the Reed et al (U.S. Patent No. 5.862.325) and Palm (U.S. Publication No. 2001/0042107 A1) references.

In response to the applicants' note that "the Final Office Action is ambiguous in that it states that the claims have been rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) and § 102(b)", the examiner notes, and regrets the typographical error of "102(b)" in paragraph 3 of the previous Office Action. Claims 1-14 were indeed rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a).

In response to the applicants' arguments that "there is no discussion or suggestion of the link being a trusted communication link, it discussion or suggestion of the link being established between an authenticated user and an authentication server, and no discussion or suggestion that the two way link allows an authenticated user to access a list of application servers associated with a client identifier, as in the claims of the present invention", the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive, because Reed et al teaches an authentication server (see column 97, line 60 through column 98, line 1, and see figure 17) adapted to establish a two-way trusted communication link (see column 76, lines 34-44, and see column 81, lines 59-67) for access by an authenticated user to an application server associated with a client identifier (see column 97, line 63 through column 98, line 1; column 100, lines 52-57; and see column 107, lines 44-51), and the secondary reference, Palm, teaches a list of application servers (see column 6, claim 7), as detailed in the previous Office Action.